Informal Joint Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee



Title of Report:	Balanced Scorecard and			
	Quarter 4 Performance report			
	2017-2018			
Report No:	PAS/SE/18/012			
Report to and date:	Performance and Audit Scrutiny Committee	31 May 2018		
Portfolio holder:	Councillor Ian Houlder Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01284 810074 Email: ian.houlder@stedsbc.gov.uk			
Lead officer:	Rachael Mann Assistant Director (Resources and Performance) Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk			
Purpose of report:	This report sets out the West Suffolk Balanced Scorecards being used to measure the Council's performance for 2017-18 and an overview of performance against those indicators for the final quarter of 2017-18.			
Recommendation:	Members are request performance using B Quarter 4, 2017-18 a information required	dit Scrutiny Committee: ted to review the Council's alanced Scorecards for and identify any further or make recommendations on or attention is required to s performance.		

Key Decision:		Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which			
(Check the appropriate		definition?			
box and delete all those	1	Yes, it is a Key Decision - □			
that do not apply.)	ואט, ונ וא	No, it is not a Key Decision - ⊠			
Consultation:		• This	s report has been p	repared in	
			consultation with all relevant staff and		
			Leadership Team.		
		1	e option of doing nothing may result in		
·		r performance, monitoring performance highlight where remedial action may			
			needed	inediai action may	
Implications:					
Are there any financial implications?		Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give details		While there are no direct financial			
			or budget implications arising from		
		this report, it is possible that any			
		recommendations of the			
			Committee may have some resource implications. For example,		
			resources may	• •	
			reallocated to i		
			performance in a future period.		
Are there any staffing implications?		Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give of			•		
Are there any ICT implications? If		Yes □ No ⊠			
yes, please give details		• No □			
Are there any legal and/or policy implications? If yes, please give		Yes □ No ⊠ There are no legal implications			
details		from this report. Poor performance			
actuno			levels may impact on the Council's		
		ability to implement its policies or			
		high-level strategies.			
Are there any equality implications?		Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give of			(notential hazards or o	apportunities affecting	
Risk/opportunity	assessmen	ti	(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)		
Risk area	Inherent le	vel of	Controls	Residual risk (after	
	risk (before controls)			controls)	
	Low/Medium/	Hiah*		Low/Medium/ High*	
Failure to achieve	High		Regular reporting of	Medium	
optimum or target			performance to Joint		
performance which may impact on			Leadership Team, Portfolio Holders and		
resources			to PASC can highlight		
			where remedial		
			action may be needed.		
		All Ward			
Background papers:		PASC May 2017 - Balanced Scorecards Targets 2017-18			
			LIALUEIS ZULIZ-LX		

Documents attached:	Appendix A – Resources and Performance Balanced Scorecard
	Appendix B – Families and Communities Balanced Scorecard
	Appendix C – Human Resources, Legal and Democratic Balanced Scorecard
	Appendix D – Planning and Regulatory Balanced Scorecard
	Appendix E – Operations Balanced Scorecard

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

1.1 Performance Measures

- 1.1.1 Attached at **appendices A** to **E** are the current Balanced Scorecards (based on Assistant Director area) which present Quarter 4 2017/18 performance. Unless otherwise stated, all performance figures on the scorecards are from a West Suffolk perspective. Where the performance for either individual Council is significantly different from the West Suffolk figure that it would have a different Red-Amber-Green (RAG) rating, details of this are highlighted in the comments box.
- 1.1.2 The information included in the report has been provided by Assistant Directors and service managers. Most indicators report performance against an agreed target using a traffic light system with additional commentary provided for performance indicators below optimum performance. Other KPIs report a data value only (e.g. no target performance) in order to track performance over time.

1.2 **Quarter 4 Performance**

1.2.1 Within Resources & Performance, the "% of non-disputed invoices paid within 30 days" corporate indicator across both councils shows we have achieved positive results in the third quarter, reporting 93.54% of invoices paid within 30 days. The finance and performance team will continue to work with service areas to try and improve performance against this indicator to achieve the 95% target, with monthly business intelligence reports being sent out with details of all invoices processed.